

ENTERED

November 22, 2022

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

YANQIU RACHEL SUN,

Plaintiff.

V.

TETRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:20-cv-04171

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

On August 31, 2022, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 19) was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Dkt. 27. Judge Edison filed a Memorandum and Recommendation on October 17, 2022, recommending that the motion be **GRANTED**. Dkt. 29.

On October 31, 2022, Plaintiff filed her Objections. On November 21, 2022, Defendant filed its Response to Plaintiff's Objections. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made." After conducting this de novo review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).


The Court has carefully considered the Objections and Response; the Memorandum and Recommendation; the pleadings; and the record. The Court **ACCEPTS** Judge Edison's

Memorandum and Recommendation and **ADOPTS** it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- (1) Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 29) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 19) is **GRANTED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED and ENTERED this 22nd day of November 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "George C. Hanks Jr.", is written over a horizontal line.

GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE